



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/699,730	11/04/2003	Rong-Ho Lee	3313-1052P	6431	
2292	7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH & E	HODGES, MATTHEW P			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/699,730	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matt P. Hodges	2879		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Matt P. Hodges	2879						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
b) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co:	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 11-14.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wvided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10 and 15-18</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> /it or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Attached Explanation.</u> 			nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/9/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's assertion that the Hashimoto ('196) reference does not teach the use of an organic solar cell in the place of an inorganic solar cell, the examiner respectfully disagrees. While the reference may continue to disclose additional features as recited by the applicant, it does disclose the details recited in the prior action; specifically the use of an organic solar cell and the advantages of an organic solar cell over an inorganic solar cell. As such, the combination as cited in the final rejection of claim 6 is maintained.

Regarding applicant's assertion that the prior art, Minamitani ('479), discloses the use of the elements for a different purpose as stated in the applicant's specification, the examiner does not find this reason persuasive for withdrawing the final rejection of claim 18. Absent a showing of specific structural elements of the claims that are not disclosed in the prior art combination of claim 18, the rejection is maintained.

As the proposed amendments do not place the claims in a better state of appeal or allowance, the amendment is not entered.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mph ///4/

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800